THE ANALYSIS OF KEY TECHNOLOGY ON BUILDING A VIRTUAL CONSTELLATION FOR THE MARICULTURE ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETERS MONITOR

Xufeng Xing¹, Shiyi Xie², Miaofen Huang³, Wenfang Wang⁴, Yang Zhuang⁵, Ying'en Huang⁶ ¹ Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Street, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China ² Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Street, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China

² Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Street, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China, Email: <u>shiyixie@126.com</u>

³Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Street, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China, Email: <u>hmf808@163.com</u>

⁴ Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Street, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China, Email: <u>xyfyslyle@163.com</u>

⁵ Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Road, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China, Email: zhuangyang_libra@qq.com

⁶ Guangdong Ocean University, No. 1 Haida Road, Mazhang District, Guangdong Zhanjiang 524088, China Email: bao_zugong@126.com

KEY WORDS: virtual constellation, mariculture areas, key technology

ABSTRACT: The key technology were analyzed when the high temporal resolution virtual constellation was built from the satellite sensors with the high spatial resolution, They include the relative calibration between multi-source sensors (for example, removal of stripe, relative radiation calibration), atmospheric correction of the no ocean color sensor over a wide range, standardization of remote sensing inversion product on mariculture environment parameters. Firstly, the problem of the multi-source sensors integrated application as follows, (1) the inside difference between sensors; (2) low signal to noise ratio of high spatial resolution sensors;(3) the difference between sensors in band width and the characteristics of the radiation; (4) the difference of atmospheric aerosol, surface roughness, change of the water body during disparate passing. The solution of these problems can be solved by that the high spatial resolution sensors are applied to the quantitative relative calibration technique of regional water and atmospheric correction work. Only after conducted a quantitative remove stripe to the high spatial resolution multi-source sensors, proceeded the relative radiation calibration and atmospheric correction can ensure getting the high precision of apparent optical water quantity and make the data comparable between sensors. Secondly, Chinese mariculture zones majorly are located in Case II water body. The current study of atmospheric correction algorithm in Case II water body is essentially based on water color sensor. However it is used land satellite sensors with high spatial resolution, its band width has obvious different with ocean color sensors. On the other hand, the sensors' signal to noise ratio are be often low, and the water signal just occupies a small part of remote sensor received signals. In order to highlight the water body radiation information, finding out an effective method of atmospheric correction becomes particularly important. Finally, the spectral ranges of the choice of multi-source sensor are different, but they are overall similar, for example, they all have the waveband of 520-590nm, 600-690nm and 770-860nm. The purpose can be achieve that inversion product of the parameters are not influenced by the response differences between sensors' band spectrum from proceeding fine-tuning for different sensors' inversion model.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mariculture areas refer to waters, which are often located in Case-II water areas such as shallow sea, beaches and harbor, used for artificial breeding for fish, shrimps, crabs, shellfish, algae, etc (Zhang, 2016). Due to great effects of land, mariculture environmental parameters such as phytoplankton biomass, suspended sediment, transparency and water temperature change greatly in temporal and spatial scales, which not only influences mariculture managers deciding where to set as the mariculture area and how large the scale of breeding is, but also affects aquatic product farmers making scientific arrangement of production measures and achieving economic benefits. According to the practical production requirements, long-term and high dynamic monitoring of mariculture environmental parameters need to be proceeded meanwhile the temporal and spatial resolution is 3 to 5 days and 50 to 100 m respectively. Satellite remote sensing is an effective way to implement long-term and high dynamic monitoring of these parameters (Huang, 2011).

In the field of remote sensing satellite, time resolution and spatial resolution are commonly contradictory, namely high spatial resolution sensors generally have low time resolution. Current mariculture study using remote sensing monitoring of environmental parameters mainly use lower spatial resolution marine satellites or meteorological satellites data, but there solutions is too low to meet the demand for mariculture production (Fan, 2013). Relatively

speaking, 50~100m is a high spatial resolution for conventional marine satellite sensors, and 3~5d is a high temporal resolution for conventional land satellite sensors. Therefore, if several satellites, which all carry high spatial resolution sensors, are constituted as a virtual constellation for mariculture environmental parameters monitoring, it is of great significance for making a scientific plan and design of marine resources, arranging mariculture production rationally and raising the mariculture level; further, some key technical breakthroughs can promote the application of land satellite remote sensing data in ocean research.

Owing to the above, to meet the demands of mariculture, the land satellites, which have higher spatial resolution compared with marine satellites, are selected to constitute virtual satellite constellation. As there are many problems such as the diversity of band widths and radiation characteristics among multi-resource remote sensors, the different variations of properties of atmospheric aerosols and water sat different transit time, etc., this paper attempts to analyze and discuss some relative issues, including (1) Quantitative relative calibration of application of multi-source sensors in regional waters; (2)Atmospheric correction techniques of broad-band non-ocean color multi-source sensor over coastal Case II turbid waters.

2. CONSTITUTION OF VIRTUAL SATELLITE CONSTELLATION

2.1 Common Land Satellites

With the development of remote sensing, human can observe the Earth more comprehensively than ever before and the data of land satellites images are exponentially increasing. Up to present, more than 500 Earth observation satellites have been launched globally, a dozen successfully-launched Chinese land satellites included. Concerning the feasibility of business operation in the future, we select 12 land satellites launched by China and 5 international common medium and high spatial resolution land satellites, combined with hyper spectral marine satellite sensors EOS/MODIS and ENVISAT/MERIS, to constitute as multi-source sensors to meet the actual needs of mariculture production and management.

Table 1 and Table 2 respectively show the technical parameters of Chinese and international land satellites selected, and Figure 3 shows the technical parameters of selected marine satellites.

| Satellites/Sensors | Wave band (nm) | Spatial resolution | Orbital period/Revisit period (day) | |
|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| BJ-1/Multispectral | 530~605 | | | |
| CCD | 630~690 | 32m | Programmable, 2-3 | |
| _ | 774~900 | | | |
| BJ-2/Multispectral | 530~605 | | | |
| CCD | 630~690 | 4m | Programmable, 2-3 | |
| | 774~900 | | - | |
| CE 1/M-16' | 450~520 | | | |
| GF-1/Multispectral | 520~590 | 4 m | 60/5 | |
| Camera | 630~690 | | 69/5 | |
| | 770~890 | | | |
| - | 450~520 | | | |
| GF-2/Multispectral | 520~590 | 4 m | 60/5 | |
| Camera | 630~690 | | 69/5 | |
| | 770~890 | | | |
| | VNIR: | | | |
| | 450~900 | VNIR :50m | | |
| | 450~520 | | | |
| | 520~600 | | 20 | |
| GF-4 | 630~690 | | 20 | |
| | 760~900 | | | |
| | MWIR: | | | |
| | 3500~4100 | MWIR:400m | | |
| | 450~520 | | | |
| ZY-3/Multispectral | 520~590 | (| 50/5 | |
| Camera | 630~690 | 6m | 59/5 | |
| | 770~890 | | | |

| | CCDC | CCDCamaana | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| | CCDCamera: | CCDCamera | |
| | 450~520 | : 20m | CCD Company : $26/2$ |
| | 520~590 630_600 | | CCD Camera : 26/3 |
| | 630~690 770~890 | | |
| | | | |
| CBERS-01/02 | Wide Field Imager (WFI): 630~690 | (WEI) .250 | |
| | 630~690 770~890 | (WFI) :258 | Wide Field Imager (WEI) . 26/2 |
| | 770~890 IRMSS: | m | Wide Field Imager (WFI) : 26/3 |
| | 1550~1750 | IDMCC. | IDMCS. 26 |
| | 2080~2350 | IRMSS: | IRMSS: 26 |
| | 2080~2350 10.4~12.5μm | 78m/156m | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | CCD Camera : | CCD | |
| | 450~520 | | |
| | 520~590 | Camera : 20m | |
| | 630~690 770_800 | | |
| CDEDG 02D | 770~890 High Baselution Company | | 26/3 |
| CBERS-02B | High Resolution Camera | | |
| | (HR) : | | |
| | 500~800 | HR: 2.36 m | |
| | Wide Field Imager (WFI) | | |
| - | 630~690 | WFI: 258 m | |
| | 770~890 | 10 | |
| | 520~590 | 10 m | 55/0 |
| ZY-1 02C | 630~690 | | 55/3 |
| | 770~890 | . | |
| | Panchromatic and | Panchromatic | |
| | Multi-spectral Camera: | and | |
| | 510~850 | Multi-spectral | |
| | 520~590 | Camera:5m& | Panchromatic and Multi-spectral |
| | 630~690 | 10m | Camera:26/3 |
| | 770~890 | | |
| | Multi-spectral Camera: | | |
| | 450~520 | Multi-spectral | |
| | 520~590 | Camera:20m | Multi-spectral Camera:26 |
| | 630~690 | | |
| CBERS-04 | 770~890 | | |
| | Infrared Multi-spectral | | |
| | Camera : | Infrared | |
| | 500~900 | Multi-spectral | Infrared Multi-spectral Camera : |
| | 1550~1750 | Camera : 40m | 26 |
| | 2080~2350 | | |
| | 10.4~12.5µm | Infrared | |
| | Wide Field Imager (WFI) : | Multi-spectral | Wide Field Imager (WFI) : 26/3 |
| | 450~520 | Camera : 80m | |
| | 520~590 | Wide Field | |
| | 630~690 | Imager | |
| | 770~890 | (WFI) : 73m | |
| | SLOAMulti graatral | SJ-9AMulti-s | |
| | SJ-9AMulti-spectral | pectral | SJ-9A : 69/4 |
| | Camera: | Camera:10m | 5J-7A = 07/4 |
| | 450~520 | | |
| | | | |
| SJ-9A/B | 520~590 630_600 | | |
| SJ-9A/B | 630~690 | | |
| SJ-9A/B | 630~690 770~890 | SJ-9 | SIDD. 20/9 |
| SJ-9A/B | 630~690 | SJ-9 BInfrared | SJ-9 B : 69/8 |

| ー HJ-1 A和HJ-1 B/Broad-band MultispectralCCD & Infrared Camera | 430~520 520~600 630~690 760~900 1550~1750 (SWIR) | VNIR:30m SWIR : 150m TIR : 300m | Wide Multi-spectral CCD : 31/2 Infrared Camera : 31/4 |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| | 10.5~12.5µm (TIR) | | |

| Table | 2. Technical Parameters of | Sensors of Global L | |
|---------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Satellites/Sensors | Wave band (nm) | Spatial resolution | Orbital period / Revisit period (day) |
| IRS-P6/ LISS-III | 520~590 600~680 770~860 1550~1750 (SWIR) | 23 m | 24/5 |
| IRS-P6/ AWIF | 520~590 600~680 770~860 1550~1750 (TIR) | 56 m | 24/5 |
| LANDSAT 5 /TM | 450~530 520~600 630~690) 760~910 1550~1750 (SWIR) 10.5-12.5μm (TIR) | VNIR & SWIR : 30 m TIR : 120m | 16 |
| - LANDSAT 8 /OIL | OIL Sensor 433~453 450~515 525~600 630~680 845~885 1560~1660 1360~1390 2100~2300 TIRS 10.6~11.2µm 11.5~12.5µm | OIL Sensor : 30 m TIRS : 100 m | 16 |
| - EOS/ASTER | 520~600 630~690 760~860 1600~1700 (SWIR) 5TIR bands : 8.125~8.475μm 8.475~8.825μm 8.925~9.275μm 10.25~10.95μm 10.95~11.65μm | VNIR: 15m SWIR:30m TIR : 90m | 16 |

Table 2. Technical Parameters of Sensors of Global Land Satellites

Table 3. Technical Parameters of Sensors of Marine Satellites

| Satellites/Sensors | Wave band (nm) | Spatial resolution | Orbital period (day) | Signal to Noise Ratio |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| EOS/MODIS | 405~420 438~448 483~493 526~536 | VNIR & SWIR : 250&500m | 16 (Support swinging) | >800 |

| | 546~556 | | | |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|------|
| | 662~672 | TIR:1000 m | | |
| | 673~683 | 1 IK. 1000 III | | |
| | 743~753 | | | |
| | 862~877 | | | |
| | 2 TIR bands : | | | |
| | 10.78~11.28µm | | | |
| | 11.77~12.27μm | | | |
| _ | 407.5~417.5 | | | |
| | 437.5~447.5 | | | |
| | 485~495 | | 35 (Support swinging) | 1700 |
| | 505~515 | | | |
| | 555~565 | | | |
| | 615~625 | | | |
| | 660~670 | | | |
| ENVISAT/MERIS | 677.5~685 | 300m | | |
| | 700~710 | | | |
| | 750~757 | | | |
| | 758.75~761.25 | | | |
| | 767.5~782.5 | | | |
| | 855~875 | | | |
| | 885~895 | | | |
| | 895~905 | | | |

Comprehensive application of multi-source sensors has many advantages: on one hand, according to the ephemeris of high-spatial-resolution sensors, high-temporal-resolution virtual constellation for mariculture environmental parameters can be built to improve the temporal resolution of high dynamic monitoring of mariculture environmental parameters by remote sensing; on the other hand, the practical mariculture activities needs higher precision of remote sensing based inversion of mariculture environmental parameters, so fully utilizing the advantages of multi-source sensors can improve the relative accuracy of mariculture environmental parameters monitoring by remote sensing, which will make high-dynamic and high-accuracy monitoring of mariculture environment become reality and provide basic data for the scientific arrangements of mariculture in demonstration area.

2.2 Constitution of Virtual Satellite Constellation

Current satellite remote sensing system constituted by multiple sensors can be categorized into three general types according to design techniques (Huang, 2014) : (1) One single sensor system with function of multiple sensors integrated, such as the multi-mode microwave sensors on Shenzhou 4 and Shenzhou 5, which integrates the function of radiometer, altimeter and scatter meter; (2) One single satellite carrying several different types of sensors, such as ENVISAT-1, a European Space Agency (ESA) multifunctional remote sensing satellite, which was launched into orbit on March 2002, with 10 instruments carrying atmospheric, oceanic, terrestrial observation sensors aboard; (3)Various sensors on many different satellites, which have the same or different specifications are constituted as constellations, formations or networks. Multiple satellites work together to accomplish tasks in this type. Based on differences of collaborative approaches it can be subdivided into three types (Wei, 2016): (a) Networking flight. Constellation, which is constituted of several satellites and is designed based on the satellites' coverage, has a certain shape in this type, such as the space surveillance network of 5 geostationary meteorological satellites above the equator; (b) Formation flight. The system of this type consists of a few or dozens of satellites, which keep a certain distance and flight attitude from each other. The satellites flying as a formation can replace a large satellite on the function. This type of systems are mainly used in military fields. In addition, the COSMO (Constellation of Small Satellites for Mediterranean Basin Observation), a radar satellite system, takes this approach of collaboration to improve the temporal resolution. One satellite of COSMO will fly over the sample area every 6 hours. (c) Combined application of satellites at different orbital altitude. Such as the EOS (Earth Observation System), belonging to a large US-led international cooperation project, which is participated in by many other countries. More than 20 sensors of this system on satellites at different orbital altitude can observe the Earth collaboratively.

Discussed on application level, current combined applications of multi-source sensors mainly focus on information fusion and parameters collaborative inversion, including information fusion and parameters collaborative inversion using the data of visible light sensors and the data of both visible light sensors and microwave sensors, etc.(Zhou,

1998; Chen, 2014; Ray ,2004; Ma , 2001; Xing, 2016). There have been a lot of researches on related technology at home and abroad, so the technology is relatively mature. Spectral information enhancement and geometrical information enhancement are common approaches of information fusion. And the former can be proceeded at 3 different levels, including Pixel Level, Feature Level and Decision Level (Yang, 2014). Among them, the fusion at Decision Level is a kind of high-level information fusion, the result of which can provide scientific basis for various management activities or decision-makings (Yun, 2015; Niu, 2003).

WGCV (Working Group on Calibration and Validation) of CEOS (Committee of Earth Observation Satellite) have been doing the research on finding out the methods of inter calibration and inter comparison of different data sources for years. According to their research, the group points out that inter calibrating and inter comparing different data to meet the users' actual demand are more promising than developing new sensors. The concept of Virtual Constellation was first mentioned on the 19th Plenary Session of CEOS, which was held in London in 2005.

Based on the concept of Virtual Constellation above, selecting high-spatial-resolution sensors, the ephemerides of which are comprehensively taken into account, to form a Virtual Constellation, which has high temporal resolution, to meet the demand for high-spatial-and-temporal-resolution mariculture environmental parameters information, is becoming a prevalent service mode for specific industries. With the in-depth research of some key technologies, constitution of the virtual constellations is set to become a new solution to the contradiction between spatial resolution and temporal resolution in remote sensing field.

3. Analysis of Key Technologies of Virtual Constellation for Mariculture Environmental Parameters

Some problems arise with the constitution of virtual constellation, such as (1) the discrepancy of the inner detectors of sensors, (2) low signal-to-noise ratio of high spatial-resolution sensors, (3) the diversity of band width and radiation characteristic among the sensors and(4) the different variations of properties of atmospheric aerosols and waters at different transit time. These problems are able to be solved through quantitative relative calibration of application of high-spatial-resolution sensors in regional waters and atmospheric correction.

3.1 Relative Calibration Technology

3.1.1 Striping removal

Using multiple detectors at the same time to acquire images is a common method used by most sensors on current satellites. Due to different detectors have different responses to received signals of objects, there are stripes over the acquired images along the same direction as the detector array. This phenomenon is called striping. Striping is very obvious on the images of relatively homogeneous terrain objects, especially the low-reflectivity waters. As striping phenomenon seriously influences the quality and visibility of images, making a huge obstacle for the out-of-orbit calibration of sensors and the quantification of information, and further causing large error of atmospheric correction and inversion of optical characteristics of waters, it is necessary to eliminate the negative effects of striping before quantifying the information. Striping removal is often proceeded by correcting every output value of detectors. Unlike other image processing, to ensure the follow-up research on quantification of satellite data, the original physical meanings of data must be maintained after striping removal, and in order not to affect the accuracy of the inversion of information of waters, using quantitative method in the process of striping removal is essential.

3.1.2 Relative radiation calibration

Radiation calibration, as a basis of quantitative application of remote sensing data, includes many methods such as In-lab Calibration, On-board Calibration, On-site Calibration and Cross Calibration. Domestic and foreign high-spatial-resolution sensors, which constitute virtual satellite constellations, are mainly carried on land satellites, which have lower signal to noise ratio than marine satellites. As there are differences in radiation characteristics among sensors, to make the data among multi-source sensors comparable and to improve the radiometric accuracy, it is necessary to carry out relative radiation calibration. The Cross Calibration is a very promising calibration method, fundamental to maintain the accuracy and quantitative application of satellite data(Evans,1998; Hu, 2001). As is shown in the Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3, though the majority of satellites are set up to transit over a certain location at 10:30 a.m. local time, they actually transit at different time points, which will result in the different transit time. For these objective problems have significant influence on the accuracy of relative radiation calibration, the influences on relative radiation calibration, resulting from different band width of satellite sensors and transit time

difference, need to be reduced or eliminated.

3.2 Atmospheric Correction Techniques of Broad-Band non-Ocean Color multi-Source Sensor over Coastal Case II Turbid Waters

Mariculture areas in China are mainly located in turbid waters of Case II, the atmospheric correction in which is one of technical difficulties in current international ocean color remote sensing field. The Gordon standard atmospheric correction algorithm, which is developed for ocean color satellite sensors, has been sustainably running in Case I waters and has been accepted throughout the world. For the basic assumption of this algorithm that "water-leaving radiance in near infrared waveband is zero" fails because of the difficulties caused by both turbid waters and absorptive aerosol in Case II waters at the same time, if Gordon algorithm is applied in Case II waters, it will result in "Over-correction" in blue waveband and the appearance of negative water-leaving radiance values, leading to the failure of this algorithm (LI, 2016; Hu, 2006; He, 2014). In order to obtain high-reliability mariculture environmental parameters, developing atmospheric correction algorithm applicable to Case II waters is essential. As current researches on the atmospheric correction algorithm applicable to Case II waters are mainly based on ocean color sensors, the bandwidth setup of which is very different from those of high-spatial-resolution land satellite sensors, which are used to constituted virtual satellite constellations, and the signal-to-noise ratio of the latter is often low while the received signal intensity of waters only takes up a tiny proportion of the total signal received by remote sensors, to highlight the information of radiation leaving waters, researching on developing an effective atmospheric correction algorithm waters, researching on developing an effective atmospheric correction algorithm the signal-to-noise ratio of the latter is often low while the received signal intensity of waters only takes up a tiny proportion of the total signal received by remote sensors, to highlight the information of radiation leaving waters, researching on developing an effective atmospheric correction algorithm appears pa

As is shown in Table 1 and Table 2, the selected high-spatial-resolution satellite data sources, have the similar bandwidth distribution, which can be divided into categories: (a) sensors of VNIR bands with center wavelength of 4XX, 5XX, 6XX, 7XX or 8XX nm; (b) sensors of SWIR bands in addition to 4 VNIR bands above, namely 15XX-17XX nm, such as LANDSAT/TM, IRS-P6/LISS-III, IRS-P6/AWIF, EOS/ASTER and HJ-1/Broad-band Multispectral CCD.

Compared with ocean color sensors, such as EOS/MODIS and ENVISAT/MERIS, the data of the satellites above have following features: (1) having broader bandwidth, usually about 80nm, compared with the 10nm bandwidth of ocean color satellite data; (2) having fewer bands, one infrared band only. It does matter to finding out atmospheric correction algorithm applicable to turbid waters when the data sources have broad bands but one infrared band only.

4. CONCLUSION

Using Semi-analytical algorithms to build a bio-optical model in demonstration area to obtain environmental parameters of ocean, for now, has following difficulties: (1) radiation data of four or more bands are required to determine the parameters in the algorithm, so during algorithm developing, enough consistent and high-spectral-fidelity data sets are required to make it perform better than the empirical algorithms, which need data of two bands only.(2) Some bold assumptions are made to reduce the amount of unknown variables in algorithm, but the assumptions are often inaccurate, for instance, some parameters areas signed as a constant, whereas these parameters are actually variables. (3) Some difficulties caused by the equation $Rrs = f(b_b/(a + b_b))$. (in this equation, the "Rrs" stands for remote sensing reflectance, the "a" stands for absorption coefficient and the "b_b" stands for backscattering coefficient of water, most of parameters in this equation will change when band changes.)

Current researches on atmospheric correction algorithm applicable to Case II waters are mainly based on ocean color sensors, while what virtual satellite constellation concerns is atmospheric correction algorithms in turbid waters with the data of broad-band from high-spatial-resolution land satellite sensors, the bandwidth setups of which are very different from those of ocean color sensors. If the algorithm is successfully developed, it can not only improve the accuracy of obtaining water-leaving radiance from the data of high-spatial-resolution land satellites, but also can contribute to promoting applications of land satellite remote sensing data in marine monitoring.

Mariculture areas are often located in the turbid Case II waters, for the properties of which are different from those in other regions, developing specific algorithms to obtain mariculture environmental parameters is necessary. In addition, as seen in Table 1 and Table 2, though the wavelength range of multi-source sensors are different, they have similarities in general, for example, they all consist of wavebands of 520-590nm,600-690nm and 770-860nm. In order to avoid influences on inversion results by different spectral responses of different remote sensors, the inversion mode needs to be adjusted slightly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China under contract No. 41271364, and supported

by program for scientific research start-up funds of Guangdong Ocean University under contract No. E16187.

REFERENCES

Chen Jinsong, Han Yu, Chen Gong, 2014. Land utilization mapping in Guangdong Province based on integration of optical and SAR remote sensing data. Acta Ecologica Sinica, 34(24), pp. 7233-7242.

Evans, R H, Gordon, H R., 1994.CZCS--System Calibration: A retrospective examination[J]. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans,99(C4), pp.7293-7307.

He Quanjun and Chen Chuqun, 2014. A new approach for atmospheric correction of MODIS imagery in turbid coastal waters: a case study for the Pearl River Estuary. Remote Sensing Letters, 5(3), pp.249–257.

Huang Miaofen, Wang Difeng, Xing Xufeng, et al., 2015. The research on remote sensing mode of retrieving ag (440) in Zhujiang river estuary and its application. ACTA OCEANOLOGICA SINICA, 37(7), pp. 66-77.

Huang Miaofen, Niu Shengli, Sun Zhongping, et al., 2010. Analysis on Characteristic of the Water Body Information Collected by "HJ-1" satellite Multispectral CCD Sensors. Remote sensing information, (4), pp.68-75.

Huang He, Zhou Jun, Liu Yingying, 2014. Optimal Design for Multi-Task Satellite Cluster Adaptive Orbit. Journal of Northwestern Polytechnical University, 32(5), pp.834-839

Hu, C M, Frank, E, Carder, K L, et al., 2001. Atmospheric correction and cross calibration of LANDSAT-7/ETM+ imagery over aquatic environments: A multiplatform approach using SeaWiFS/MODIS. Remote Sensing of Environment, 78,pp.99-107.

Hu, C., Carder, K.L., and Muller-Karger, F.E., 2000. Atmospheric correction of SeaWiFS imagery over turbid coastal waters: a practical method [J]. Remote Sensing of Enviorenment, 74,pp.195-206.

J zhou, 1998. A wavelet transform method to merge Landsat TM and SPOT panchromatic data[J]. INT. J. Remote Sensing, 19(4), pp.743-757.

Li Shuang-zhao, Yuan De-kui, WANG Xue.,2016. Improvement of SWIR Atmospheric Correction Algorithm for Case II Water. Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, http://www.cnki.net/kcms/detail/11.1843.X.20160711.1110.002.html. Ma Ji-ping, Zhao Jing, Huang Miao-fen, et al. 2005. A Fast Approach to Principal Components Transformati on for Hyperspect ral Image. Remote sensing information, (2),pp.11-13.

Niu Shengli, Tang Junwu, Jiang Xingwei, et al. 2007. The Comparison of Two Quantitative Striping Removal Algorithms for HY1A COCTS Data. Journal of Remote Sensing, 11(6), pp.860-867.

Ray S S, 2004. Merging of IRS LISS III and PAN Data Evaluation of Various Methods for a Predominantly Agricultur~Area. INT. J. Remote Sensing, 25(13): 2657-2 664.

Wei wen, 2006. A variety of sensor combination of satellite remote sensing technology. Aerospace China, 4, pp.34-37.

XingYuanjun, Liu Xiaonong, Song Yabin, et al., 2016. Research on fusion method comparison and analysis for domestic high resolution satellite images. Journal of Central South University of Forestry & Technolog, 36(10), pp. 83-88.

Yang Likun, Fu Xiangyu. 2014. The theory and Technique for Data fusion of Multi-sources Remote Sensing Image. Beijing Surveying and Mapping, 3,pp.101-104.

Yun Ting, Dong Xiaolong, 2015. Study of Image Reconstruction Techniques for Spaceborne Scatterometer. Remote Sensing Technology and Application, 30(3),pp.495-503.

Zhang Yu-long, Li Ya-ning, Hu Heng ,et al., 2016. Research on the quantitu forecate of the mariculture function area of China. MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE, 33(3):493-496