# HOURLY PM2.5 EXTREMES VARIATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH METEOROLOGY IN URBAN HONG KONG

Qun DAI<sup>a</sup>, Ming LUO<sup>b</sup>, Jingzhi WANG<sup>c</sup>, Marc Aurel SCHNABEL<sup>d</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>School of Architecture, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; Tel: +852-26961224; E-mail: daiqun@cuhk.edu.hk

<sup>b</sup>Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; Tel: +852-26096233; E-mail: luoming@cuhk.edu.hk

<sup>c</sup>Department of Land Surveying and Geo-Informatics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong ; E-mail: chicly.wang@gmail.com

<sup>d</sup>School of Architecture, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong; Tel: +852-26096593; E-mail: marcaurel@cuhk.edu.hk

KEY WORDS: PM2.5, Particulate Matter, Extreme concentration, Diurnal variation, Meteorology, Hong Kong

**ABSTRACT:** Atmospheric aerosol particles with diameters of less than 2.5 mm (PM2.5) have significant health effects on both human and various ecosystems. With increasing traffic and energy consumption, PM2.5 has become the main type of air pollutant in Hong Kong, and extreme concentrations of PM2.5 appear to occur more frequently. This paper investigates the variations in the extreme hourly PM2.5 concentrations from the Air Pollution Index (API) monitoring station in Central - Hong Kong, which represents a typical urban area of Hong Kong. The hourly PM2.5 concentration exhibits the distinct seasonal and diurnal variations, which were impacted by traffic strength and meteorological conditions. The analysis results reveal that firstly, significant diurnal bimodal pattern of PM2.5 with peaks in morning (8:00-10:00am) and afternoon rush hours (18:00-20:00pm) are noted, lowest concentrations are generally found around noon time (12:00-14:00pm). Secondly, seasonal variations of PM2.5 concentrations with their highest concentration during winter and lowest during summer times, which are driven by seasonal variability in wind directions and temperatures. Thirdly, the pronounced variations are commonly contributed to anthropogenic factors, such as enhanced traffic density, yet meteorological conditions also have some significant influence in Hong Kong.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The impact of Atmospheric Particulate Matter (PM) receives more and more attention due to its considerable role in atmospheric processes, thereby affecting air quality, climate change and human health. Environmental epidemiological studies have found PM affects pulmonary function and thereby inducing respiratory diseases and adverse effects on public health and even premature death (Cohen et al., 2005; Kuenzli et al., 2004; Ostro et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2008; Schwartz, 2003; Wilson and Suh, 1997). Particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 um (PM2.5) are deeply inhaled and therefore offer a greater impact on public health (Chan and Kwok, 2000).

The transport, presence and formation of PM2.5 is very dependent on the meteorological conditions and source emissions (Elminir, 2005; Wise and Comrie, 2005; Dawson et al., 2007). The behavior of PM2.5 was studied to compare the meteorology (wind speed, wind direction, temperature, humidity, mixing height, precipitation, pressure and cloud cover) of numerous studies worldwide. For instance, Jung et al. (2000) argued that atmospheric transport accounts for high PM2.5 observation in Ohio; high concentrations were particularly detected when the wind speed was lower than 8mph and the temperature was higher than 70°F. Bari et al. (2003) studied the behavior of PM2.5 records in New York and found that PM2.5 mass has a significant regional component with little influence from local sources; the hourly mean concentrations showed a bimodal pattern. Hien et al. (2002) revealed that the fine particulate concentrations can be explained by meteorological parameters. Relative humidity was highly correlated to fine particulates in winter (Chiang et al., 2005). Li et al. (2005) suggests stable atmospheric conditions with southerly winds are in strong correlation with the recorded high PM2.5 concentration. Guerra et al. (2006) argues that the significant effect of wind direction for PM2.5 in Kansas, with observed high PM2.5 levels on days with predominantly south wand calm winds. Xu et al. (2007) reveals that the change of the concentration of PM2.5 is well related to pressure, relative humidity, and wind speed.

With increases in traffic and energy consumption caused by the dense urbanization, PM2.5 has become the main type of air pollutant in Hong Kong according to a recent report of Hong Kong Environmental Protection Department (HKEPD, 2004). Despite that there do exist some PM2.5 studies in Hong Kong (Cao et al., 2003; Fung et al., 1995; Ho et al., 2003; Louie et al., 2004; Pathak et al., 2003; Qin et al., 1997; So et al., 2007), their main focus however, is the chemical composition. The extensive and comprehensive meteorology contribution to PM2.5 loadings is poorly understood in Hong Kong and only a few studies link pollutants pattern to limited meteorological parameters such as wind effects (Cheng and Lam, 1998).

Understanding the pattern of pollutant and quantifying the relative contribution of different meteorological variables are critical in developing control and mitigation strategies to safeguard public health. Thus a detailed analysis of the pattern of PM2.5 extremes and related meteorology contribution is imperative in Hong Kong. This paper was formulated to test if meteorology played a significant role in affecting the PM2.5 extreme concentrations in urban Hong Kong.

# 2. SITE DESCRIPTION

Hong Kong is a high dense populated city situated in the sub-tropical climate region with steep mountains and deep sea. Hong Kong's 80% pollutions are from the Pearl River Delta Region due to prevailing winds. Due to the very dense configuration of buildings and the complex terrain bulks, solar energy is stored and heat release and ventilation blocked.

# 3. DATA

Several datasets on PM2.5 concentrations and corresponding meteorological data were obtained from the monitoring agencies in Hong Kong to analyze and characterize the PM2.5 particle pollution in urban Hong Kong. These data were collocated in both space and time as the basis for statistical analysis. In this study, PM2.5 data recorded by Central station (22°16'54", 114°9'29") (Fig. 1) is dedicated to represent PM2.5 particle pollution over urban Hong Kong. The histogram of hourly PM2.5 concentrations at Central station is shown in Fig. 2. Temperature (TEMP), relative humidity (RH), mean sea level pressure (MSLP), wind direction (WD) and wind speed (WS) were collected from Hong Kong Observation (22°18'07", 114°10'27"), which were applied to represent the meteorological conditions for Central station (Fig. 1), while wind speed and wind direction was collected from Central Pier observation at (22°17'20", 114°09'21") for representation the wind conditions for Central station as geographical proximity.



Fig. 1 Location of PM2.5 Central Station, Central Pier and Hong Kong Observatory.



Fig. 2 Distribution of hourly PM2.5 concentrations at Central station.

# 4. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

#### 4.1 Seasonal pattern of PM2.5 concentrations

Seasonal patterns of PM2.5 concentrations at Central station are displayed in Fig.3. Concentrations were higher in winter and autumn and lower during spring and summer (Fig. 3). The patterns show that PM2.5 is relative to the meteorological factors. For example, wind direction and temperature show the opposite seasonal pattern, while others factors don't.



Fig. 3 Seasonal patterns of PM2.5 concentrations at Central Station.

Monthly variations in PM2.5 are obvious. The highest PM2.5 concentrations occurred in December and January (Fig.4), however, the lowest PM2.5 concentrations appeared in June and July. Different from seasonal patterns of PM2.5 concentrations, RH and MSLP are related to PM2.5 concentrations.



Fig. 4 Monthly patterns of PM2.5 concentrations at Central station.

### 4.2 Diurnal pattern of PM2.5 concentrations

Diurnal pattern of PM2.5 concentrations exhibited a bimodal pattern (Fig. 5) with an overnight minimum (1:00-5:00am), a relatively low PM2.5 concentration around noon (12:00-14:00pm) and two marked peaks (morning rush hours (8:00-10:00am) and evening rush hours (18:00-20:00pm). The peak values are higher on workdays with more anthropogenic activities (Fig. 5). The concentrations peaks are likely related to a blend of anthropogenic activities and meteorological influences, typically when high traffic density occurs.

Daily MSLP had a similar pattern to that of PM2.5 in despite of time lag, which displayed two clear maxima around 10:00am and 23:00pm. In contrast, TEMP and WS exhibited a unimodal pattern characterized by midday maxima around 13:00pm. Such phenomenon explained the degradation of PM2.5 during noontime with higher temperature and better diffusion. RH, however, exhibited an inverse unimodal pattern with stably overnight maximum values, which suggested the negative association with nocturnal PM2.5 concentrations. A high RH will depress the adsorption of gas phase organic species into particle surface (Pankow et al.,1993) and accelerate the removal of

particle by dry deposition, a mechanism enhanced for hygroscopic particle(Zhan et al., 2009). Thus, PM2.5 kept constant minimum values between 2:00 to 5:00 am.



Fig. 5 Diurnal pattern of PM2.5 concentrations.



Fig. 6 Diurnal pattern of PM2.5 concentrations in terms of meteorology.

#### 4.3 Pattern of PM2.5 extremes

(a)

During the rush hour periods (8:00-10:00am and 18:00-20:00pm), over 40% of the >95 percentile winter PM2.5 concentrations occurs with wind direction between 240° and 270° (Fig. 7b). On the other hand, the accumulation of high summer PM2.5 concentration was favored by wind from mainland (Fig. 7a). Approximately 40% of the higher winter PM2.5 concentrations were registered when wind was blowing from  $45^{\circ}$ - $75^{\circ}$  and  $315^{\circ}$ - $345^{\circ}$ . Given the difference of wind direction behaviors in winter and summer, lower PM2.5 pollution was found to be highly associated with that the prevailing eastern wind blowing from sea (90°-115°). This suggests the great significance of eastern sea wind in reducing the magnitude of PM2.5.





In winter, the >95 percentile PM2.5 concentrations occurs with MSLP around 1021 hPa (Fig. 8b), while the distribution of the >95 percentile PM2.5 concentrations is not obvious in summer (Fig. 8a). In summer, the >95 percentile PM2.5 concentrations occur easily with higher RH (Fig. 9a). Contrast to summer, the >95 percentile PM2.5 concentrations occur easily with lower RH in winter (Fig. 9b).



Fig. 8 As in Fig. but for Mean Sea Level Pressure (MSLP).



Fig. 9 As in Fig. but for Relative Humidity (RH).

# **5. CONCLUSION**

The temporal patterns of PM2.5 and the seasonal and diurnal significance of meteorological variables affecting the PM2.5 concentrations were studied to understand the behavior of PM2.5 in terms of best sets of weather conditions. In this paper, the exploration of temporal patterns of PM2.5 and meteorological variables was conducted to understand the interaction mechanisms among them physically. Primarily, the hourly-record PM2.5 data exhibits the distinct seasonal and diurnal variations, which were regulated by the traffic strength and the meteorological conditions. The results reveal that pronounced diurnal bimodal pattern of PM2.5 with peaks in morning (8:00-10:00am) and afternoon rush hours (18:00-20:00pm) is attributable to enhanced traffic density and meteorological conditions. Stronger wind and warmer temperature may lead to lower midday concentrations, while the increasing relative humidity brings PM2.5 down to a broad overnight minimum by dry deposition. Significant seasonal variability in wind direction, precipitation and temperature. The highest summer PM2.5 concentrations (e.g. value≥the 95th percentile) are observed under southwest wind with lower relative humidity.

#### REFERENCE

Bari A., Ferraro V., Wilson L.R., Luttinger D. and Husain L., 2003. Measurements of gaseous HONO, HNO3, SO2, HCL, NH3, particulate sulfate and PM2.5 in New York. Atmospheric Environment, 37, pp.2825-2835.

Cao J.J., Lee S.C., Ho K.F., Zhang X.Y., Zou S.C., Fung K., Chow J.C. and Watson J. G., 2003. Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in Pearl River Delta Region, China during 2001 winter period. Atmospheric Environment, 37, pp.1451-1460.

Chan L.Y. and Kwok W.S., 2001. Roadside suspended particulates at heavily trafficked urban sites of Hong Kong-Seasonal Variation and dependence on meteorological conditions. Atmospheric Environment, 35, pp.3177-3182.

Cheng S.Q. and Lam K.C., 1998. An analysis of winds affecting air pollution concentrations in Hong Kong. Atmospheric Environment, 32, pp.2559-2567.

Chiang P., Chang E.E., Chang T. and Chiang H., 2005. Seasonal Source-Receptor Relationships in a Petrochemical Industrial District over Northern Taiwan. Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association, 55, pp.326-341.

Cohen A.J., Anderson H.R., Ostro B., Pandey K.D., Krzyzanowski M., Kunzli N., Gutyschmidt K., Pope A., Romieu I., Samet J.M. and Smith K., 2005. The global burden of disease due to outdoor air pollution. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, 68, pp.1301-1307.

Dawson J.P., Adams P.J. and Pandis S.N., 2007. Sensitivity of PM2.5 to climate in the Eastern US: a modeling case study. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, pp.4295-4309.

Elminir H.K., 2005. Dependence of urban air pollutants on meteorology. Science of the Total Environment, 350, pp.225-237.

Fung Y.S. and Wong L.W.Y., 1995. Apportionment of air pollution sources by receptor modeling in Hong Kong. Atmospheric Environment, 29, pp.2041-2048.

Guerra A.S., Lane D.D., Marotz G.A., Carter R.E., Hohl C.M. and Baldauf R.W., 2006. Effects of Wind Direction on Coarse and Fine Particulate Matter Concentrations in Southeast Kansas. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association, 56, pp.1525-1531.

Hien P.D., Bac V.T., Tham H.C., Nhan D.D. and Vinh L.D. 2002. Influence of Meteorological Conditions on PM2.5 and PM2.5-10 Concentrations during the Monsoon Season in Hanoi, Vietnam. Atmospheric Environment, 36, pp.3473-3484.

HKEPD. Annual Report, 2004. Hong Kong Environmental Protection Department (HKEPD), Hong Kong, China.

Ho K.F., Lee A.C., Chan C.K., Yu J.C., Chow J.C. and Yao X.H., 2003. Characterization of Chemical Species in PM2.5 and PM10 Aerosols in Hong Kong. Atmospheric Environment, 37, pp.32-39.

Jung I., Kumar S., Kuruvilla J. and Crist K., 2002. Impact of meteorology on the fine particulate matter distribution in central and southeastern Ohio. Preprints American Meteorological Society 12th Joint Conference on Applications of Air Pollution Meteorology with the Air and Waste Management Association Norfolk, VA. American Meteorological Society, Boston, MA.

Kuenzli N., Mack W.J., Hodis H.N., Jerrett M., LaBree L., Gilliland F., Thomas D. and Peters J., 2004. Air pollution may cause and speed up artery disease. American Heart Association's Scientific Sessions, 7-10, November 2004, New Orleans.

Li W., Cardenas N., Walton J., Trujillo D. and Morales H., 2005. PM Source Identification at Sunland Park, New Mexico, Using a Simple Heuristic Meteorological and Chemical Analysis. Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association, 55, pp.352-364.

Louie P.K.K., Chow J.C., Chen L.W.A., Watson J.G., Leung G. and Sin D.W.M., 2004. PM2.5 Chemical Composition in Hong Kong: Urban and Regional Variations. Science of the Total Environment, 338, pp.267-281.

Ostro B., Feng W.Y., Broadwin R., Malig B., Green S. and Lipsett M., 2008. The impact of components of fine particulate matter on cardiovascular mortality in susceptible subpopulations. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 11, pp.750-756.

Pankow J. F, Storey J. M. E, Yamasaki H., 1993. Effect of relative humidity on gas/particle partitioning of semivolatile organic compounds to urban particulate matter. Environmental Science and Technology, 27, pp.2220–2226.

Pathak R.K., Yao X., Lau A.K.H. and Chan C.K., 2003. Acidity and concentrations of ionic species of PM2.5 in Hong Kong. Atmospheric Environment, 37, pp.1113-124.

Peng R.D., Chang H.H., Bell M.L., McDermott A., Zeger S.L., Samet J.M. and Dominici F., 2008. Coarse particulate matter air pollution and hospital admissions for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases among Medicare patients. Journal of the American Medical Association, 299, pp.2172-2179.

Qin Y., Chan C.K. and Chan L.Y., 1997. Characteristics of chemical compositions of atmospheric aerosols in Hong Kong: Spatial and seasonal distributions. Science of the Total Environment, 206, pp.25-37.

Schwartz J., 2003. Daily Deaths Associated with Air Pollution in Six US Cities and Short-Term Mortality Displacement in Boston. In: Revised Analyses of Time-Series Studies of Air Pollution and Health. Special Report. Boston: Health Effects Institute, pp.219-226.

So K.L., Guo H. and Li Y.S., 2007. Long-term variation of PM2.5 levels and composition at rural, urban, and roadside sites in Hong Kong: Increasing impact of regional air pollution. Atmospheric Environment, 41, pp.9427-9434.

Wilson W.E. and Suh H.H., 1997. Fine particles and coarse particles: Concentration relationships relevant to epidemiologic studies. Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association, 47, pp.1238-1249.

Wise E.K. and Comrie A.C., 2005. Meteorologically adjusted urban air quality trends in the Southwestern United States. Atmospheric Environment, 39, pp.2969-2980.

Xu J., Ding G.A., Yan P, Wang S. F., Meng Z.Y., Zhang Y.M., Liu Y.C., Zhang X.L. and Xu X.D., 2007. Componential Characteristics and Source Identification of PM2.5 in Beijing. Journal of Applied Meteorological Science, 18, pp.645-653.

Zhan X.J., Zhang X.L., Xu X.F., Xu J., Meng W. and Pu W.W., 2009.Seasonal and diurnal variations of ambient PM2.5 concentration in urban and rural environments in Beijing. Atmospheric Environment, 43, pp.2893-2900.